

The New Criterion

Features

January 2009

Guarding the boundaries

by [Anthony Daniels](#)

On the moral consequences of relativism (from "The Dictatorship of Relativism.")

Since I've received no education in philosophy whatever, it is no doubt very rash of me to make a broad generalization concerning the subject, but I shall risk it nonetheless: that in the whole history of philosophy not a single important philosophical problem has ever been solved beyond all possible dispute.

I know that the late Sir Karl Popper claimed to have solved the problem of induction not merely to his own satisfaction, but also to the satisfaction of all rational men; alas, I do not think that all rational men have reciprocated by agreeing with him. *Pace* Popper, the philosophy of science is not now at an end, any more than is mental, political, or moral philosophy.

Unless I am much mistaken, the metaphysical foundations of aesthetic and moral judgment have not been established with anything like the certainty with which, say, the circulation of the blood has been established. I know that it ...

Anthony Daniels's most recent book is *In Praise of Prejudice* (Encounter Books).

[more from this author](#)

This article originally appeared in The New Criterion, Volume 27 January 2009, on page 9

Copyright © 2012 The New Criterion | www.newcriterion.com

<http://www.newcriterion.com/articles.cfm/Guarding-the-boundaries-3979>