

The New Criterion

Features

March 2001

Sanctimony serving politics: the Florida fiasco

by [Robert Bork](#)

Reflections on the Supreme Court's intervention in the battle for Florida's electoral votes.

A great deal more than the name of the new president was at stake in *Bush v. Gore*. As columnist Tony Blankley wrote in *The Washington Times* on November 11,

[W]hat is sticking in the craw this time is the brazen, slick, daylight heisting of the votes. ...In this regard, Mr. Gore has learned from Mr. Clinton that when he violates the nation's values in front of the public—staring us down, daring us to do something about it—our failure to defend ourselves morally weakens us for the next time. And there will always be a next time.

In that sense, the Supreme Court, at considerable cost to itself, saved us, at least momentarily, from a further precipitous decline in our public morality.

Few events illustrate so starkly the debased state of America's political and legal culture as did Vice President Gore's frenzied attempts to overturn Gove ...

Robert Bork is **Robert H. Bork**'s most recent book is *A Country I Do Not Recognize: The Legal Assault on American Values* (Hoover).

[more from this author](#)

This article originally appeared in The New Criterion, Volume 19 March 2001, on page 4

Copyright © 2012 The New Criterion | www.newcriterion.com

<http://www.newcriterion.com/articles.cfm/Sanctimony-serving-politics--the-Florida-fiasco-2222>